



System Advisor Model (SAM) Case Study:

Andasol-1

Aldeire, Spain

The SAM team is compiling a series of case studies to provide specific examples with the view to guide users in constructing their own SAM analyses. These case studies describe the process of acquiring data, generating a SAM file with explicit inputs, and analyzing the salient results. Each case study is accompanied by the SAM file (v2012.11.30) that has been used to model the case.

Abstract

Andasol-1 is a parabolic trough concentrating solar power (CSP) plant in Aldeire, Spain about 40 km east of Granada. This was the first parabolic trough power plant built in Europe as well as the first trough plant in the world to incorporate thermal storage [1]. The plant has a nominal capacity of 50 MWe and covers slightly less than 500 acres. It is owned and operated by ACS-Cobra Energy, who invested around €310 million to build the plant. The feed-in tariff in Spain was set by Royal decree 661/2007 and offers a guaranteed power purchase agreement (PPA) for 25 years at a tariff rate of €0.27/kWh. Performance data from the plant is proprietary but even without a very accurate weather file the SAM model predicts an annual energy output and a PPA proportional to the values reported in the media [2,3].



Figure 1: South-facing view of Andasol-1 solar field [4]

System Description

Andasol-1 has a solar field aperture of 510,120 m², consisting of 624 Skal-ET parabolic trough collectors, including 156 parallel loops of four collectors in serial connection. The plant uses proprietary technology to track the sun's location in order to maximize the collection of thermal energy. The solar collectors are made up of more than 200,000 mirrors that concentrate the sun rays on 22,464 tube receivers. The receivers are both Schott PTR-70 and Solel UVAC models. The heat transfer fluid (HTF) in the receivers is Dowtherm A. This synthetic oil is heated to 393°C and flows through the tubes in order to boil water in the heat exchangers. The steam produced from this process drives a 50 MW (net) Siemens SST-700 reheat steam turbine, which is connected to a generator that produces electricity. The plant uses a wet-cooling system to condense the steam back to liquid. The Andasol-1 power plant has a thermal storage system which stores part of the heat produced in the solar field during the day in a molten salt mixture of 60% sodium nitrate and 40% potassium nitrate. A full storage tank can be used to operate the turbine for about 7.5 hours at full-load when the sky is overcast or after sunset. The plant also utilizes a 12% fossil fuel back-up from a natural gas heater. Ground-breaking for Andasol-1 plant began in July 2006 and after 28 months of construction, it went online in November 2008.



Figure 2: View of the power block in Andasol-1

Data Acquisition

This study used the Granada EPW climate file [5] as the best representation of Aldeire due to the two locations being only 40 km apart. The system specifications were primarily sourced from the NREL/ SolarPACES website [6]. The financial assumptions for this study were compiled mainly from IDAE and Deutsche Bank technical studies [7,8]. ACS-Cobra Energy has not released performance data for the plant; therefore, the data provided in this case study was obtained from referenced public sources.

SAM Inputs

The SAM technology chosen for this system is CSP physical trough (as opposed to the empirical trough model) because the plant performance can be more accurately measured based on its physical characteristics. The market is Independent Power Producer because ACS-Cobra Energy sells the electricity at a price negotiated through a PPA that was established with the Spanish feed-in tariff. Table 1 shows all the changes from the default values based on the system description.

Page	Variable	Default Value	Andasol-1
Climate	Location	AZ Tucson (TMY2)	Granada (EPW)
Solar Field	Field aperture (Option 2)	861590 m ²	510120 m ²
	Irradiation at design	$950 W/m^2$	700 W/m^2
	Field HTF fluid	Therminol VP-1	User-defined (below)
	Design loop outlet temp	391°C	393°С
	Number of SCA per loop	8	4
Collector (SCAs)	Configuration name	Solargenix SGX-1	EuroTrough ET150
Receivers (HCEs)	Configuration name (Type 2)	No library match	Solel UVAC 3
Power Cycle	Capacity - Design gross output	111 MW	55 MW
	Rated cycle conversion efficiency	0.3774	0.381
	Aux heater outlet set temp	391°C	393°С
	Fossil dispatch mode	Minimum backup	Supplemental operation
Thermal Storage	Full load hours of TES	6 hr	7.5 hr
	Tank height	20 m	14 m
	Dispatch schedule	Summer Peak	Summer Peak
	TOD factor	Different values	All of them change to 1
	Fossil fill fraction (Period 1)	0	0.15
	Fossil fill fraction (Period 2)	0	0.20
	Fossil fill fraction (Period 3)	0	0.45
Performance Adjustment	Year-to-year decline in output	0	0.5

Table 1: SAM performance inputs for Andasol-1 that differ from default values

Since SAM does not have a heat transfer fluid model for Dowtherm A, we had to create a custom fluid. Using the "edit" button, we populated the table for each property at 10 different temperatures by using the DOW product technical data [9]. We changed the payment allocation factors to 1 because the Spanish feed-in tariff price is equal in all the periods. The Royal decree 661/2007 set an allowance for solar thermal plants to contain up to 12-15% natural gas back up. This increases the reliability of the plants, since natural gas can be used both to maintain the temperature of the heat storage during periods of interruption in solar thermal electricity generation and to continue generation during cloudy conditions or after sunset. We adjusted the fossil fill fraction values in order to reach a 12% fossil fuel back up without specific criteria. Further research should be done in order to clarify how Andasol-1 uses the 12% fossil fuel back up allowed.

As mentioned above, the financial inputs were compiled from two different studies, carried out for IDAE and Deutsche Bank. Screen shots of the Trough System Cost and Financing pages (with all of the adjusted inputs) are located in the Appendix. Table 2 shows the changes from the default values based on the system description.

Page	Variable	Default Value	Andasol-1
Trough System Costs	Site Improvements	$30.00 \ m^2$	$28.00 \$ /m ²
	HTF System	$80.00 \$ /m ²	$78.00 \$ %/m ²
	Storage	80.00 \$/kWht	78.00 \$/kWht
	Fossil Backup	0.00 \$/kWe	60.00 \$/kWe
	Power Plant	830.00 \$/kWe	850.00 \$/kWe
	Balance of plant	110.00 \$/kWe	105.00 \$/kWe
	Fixed Cost by Capacity	65.00 \$/kW-yr	66.00 \$/kW-yr
	Variable Cost by Generation	4.00\$/MWh	3.00\$/MWh
	Fossil Fuel Cost	0.00 \$/MMBTU	6.00 \$/MMBTU
Financing	Minimum Required IRR	15%	12%
	PPA Escalation Rate	1%	0%
	Loan Rate	8%	7%
	Real Discount Rate	8.20%	8%
	Federal Income Tax	35%	30%
	State Income Tax	7%	0%
	Insurance Rate	0.5%	1%
	Up-front Fee	1%	3.5%
	Construction Period - Months	24	28
	Annual Interest Rate	5%	5.5%
	Allow SAM to pick debt fraction		\checkmark
Depreciation	Federal	5-yr MACRS	Straight line (25 yr)
	State	5-yr MACRS	No depreciation

Table 2: SAM financial inputs for Andasol-1 that differ from the default values

Results and Discussion

Table 3 shows the key SAM metrics for the system along with reported values (when available) and the percent difference between the SAM estimates and the media reports.

Table 3: SAM metrics table

Metric	SAM value	Reported Value	Difference (%)
Annual Energy	174,511,024 kWh	179,103,000 kWh	2.6%
PPA price	36.67 ¢/kWh	37.05 ¢/kWh	1.0%
LCOE Nominal	36.67 ¢/kWh		
LCOE Real	29.72 ¢/kWh		
Internal Rate of Return	12.00%		
Minimum DSCR	1.40		
Net Present Value	\$12,504,255		
Debt Fraction	71.43%		
Capacity Factor	40.20%	41.50%	1.3%
Gross to Net Conv. Factor	0.94		
Total Land Area	476.80 acres	481.85 acres	1.0%
Total Installed Cost (2006\$)	\$418,440,431	\$411,690,000	1.6%

The simulation gave an energy output of approximately 174.5 GWh/year. The reported annual output for Andasol-1 is estimated at 179.1 GWh/year, giving a 2.6% difference in the actual and

simulated values. There was also a 1.0% difference between the simulated and reported values for the total land of the plant, and a 1.6% difference in the total installed cost values. Furthermore, the SAM value for PPA price differ 1.0% with the Spanish feed-in tariff price per kWh. To further analyze the financial side of the model, the SAM graphs are very useful. The three standard financial graphs are shown below:



Figure 3: Simulated LCOE values for Andasol-1



Stacked Real LCOE (Base Case)



From the stacked real LCOE (Figure 3), we can see how different components make up the LCOE for Andasol-1. For example, we can see the contribution of TES costs as well as the fossil fuel from the 12% fossil fuel back up costs. Figure 4 shows the after tax cash flow for the 25 year period analysis. We can see the negative payment for the installation of the project in year 0 as well as the diminishing profit until the 20-year loan term ends.

There are also many practical graphs for the performance side of the model. Figure 5 (below) shows the annual energy flow. From this, we can see the energy losses associated with certain processes throughout the system. For example, we can see the inefficiency of converting thermal energy to electricity during the power cycle (from over 500 GWh to less than 200 GWh). We can also obtain a more precise picture of the system's output using DView, SAM's hourly time series data viewer. To launch DView, click on Time Series in the Results menu. Figure 6 (below) shows the gross power output of the plant at different times of the day and different days of the year. This is useful in determining peak output hours throughout the year and could be used to make a comparison between peak output and peak demand hours or to compare time series outputs in different locations.



Figure 5: Shows the long-term financial profile for Andasol-1 over the 25 year period analysis. Positive values of cash flow represent an influx of money while negative values correspond to outgoing payments.



Figure 6: The annual energy flow shows the losses from different processes throughout the system



Figure 7: The gross power output of the system shows the peak times of year. Each pixel represents a single hour of the year.

Conclusion

Utilizing SAM's capabilities, we modeled Andasol-1, one of the first CSP trough plants constructed in the world. We were able to model the plant with minimal changes to the default values, using the limited information that has been made publicly available. Even with lacking performance data, we were able to get within 3% of the reported annual output and found a PPA close to the Spanish feed-in tariff price per kWh. This case study is located in the SAM samples folder.

References

[1] "Andasol Power Plants" Solar Millennium.

<<u>http://www.solarmillennium.de/Technologie/Referenzprojekte/Andasol/Die Andasol Kraftwe</u> rke entstehen %2Clang2%2C109%2C155.html>

[2] "Andasol 1 & 2" Estela Solar. <<u>http://www.estelasolar.eu/index.php?id=32</u>>

[3] "Andasol Solar Power Station" Clean Energy.

<<u>http://www.cleanenergyactionproject.com/CleanEnergyActionProject/CS.Andasol_Solar_Power</u> Station Energy Storage Case Study.html>

[4] Vincent, J. "The Future of Energy is Now." *Greenpeace Australia Pacific Blog.* 27 May 2009. <<u>http://www.greenpeace.org.au/blog/?p=524</u>>

[5] "Weather Data – Spain" US Department of Energy.

<<u>http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/energyplus/cfm/weather_data3.cfm/region=6_europe_wmo_region_6/country=ESP/cname=Spain</u>>

[6] "Andasol-1" *SolarPACES*. *National* Renewable Energy Laboratory. <<u>http://www.nrel.gov/csp/solarpaces/project_detail.cfm/projectID=3</u>>

[7] "Evaluación del potencial de energía solar termoeléctrica" IDAE. Madrid, 2011.

[8] "The CSP industry: An awakening giant" Deutsche Bank. Germany, March 2009.

[9] "Dowtherm A: Heat Transfer Fluid" Product Technical Data, *Dow Chemical Company*. March 1997.

[10] SAM templates available at: <<u>https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/sam/templates.html</u>>

<u>Appendix</u>

The screenshots below show the Financing and Trough System Costs pages for the Andasol-1 system using SAM v2012.11.30.

		Choose	e Specify	IRR Target v	when you know	the IRR and wan	t SAM to c	alculate a PPA price		
) Specify IRR Target		Choose	Snorifi	DDA Drice wh	an you know th	e DDA (bid) price	and want	SAM to calculate th	a IDD	
Specify PPA Price		Choose	specty	FFA Price Wi	ien you know th	e FPA (biu) price	and want	SAM to calculate th	e mr.	
		hourly	payment	allocation fai	ctors. See Help	for details.	te anu, for	analyses involving i	ume-or-delivery pric	ing, opti
Specify IRR Target							Specify P	PA Price		
	Minimum R	equired	IRR		12 %					
	DDA Ecc	alation D	lata		0.94			PPA Price	0.15	\$/kWh
Constraint: Require a m		did uori R	ale		0 %		PP	A Escalation Rate	0	%/yr
Constraint. Require a m	Minimum Dock	nuired Di	CD .		1 4					
	Minimum Ret	qui eu D.	JUN	5						
Constraint: Require a p	ositive cashflov	N								
Financial Optimization										
Allow SAM to pick debt f	fraction to mini	mize LCC	DE							
Allow SAM to pick PPA e	scalation rate	to minimi	ize LCOE							
oan Parameters										
Debt Fraction	5	50 %				Installed Cost	\$ 418,440	0,430.69		
					Construction	Financing Cost	\$ 41,49	5,342.71		
Loan Term		20 year	s		P	rincipal Amount	\$ 229,96	7,886.70		
Loss Patro		7 10 1	5 2019			und part in our it	4	0.45 04		
						Real Disco Nominal Disco	ount Rate	8.00 %/yea	r) r)	
ax and Insurance Rates										
Federal Income	Tax Rate	30.00	%/year		Pro	perty Tax				1
State Income	Tax Rate	0.00	%/year			Assesse	d Percent	100.00 % of in	stalled cost	
						Asses	sed Value	\$ 418,440,430,69	1	
:	Sales Tax	5.00	% of inst	talled cost		Annu	al Decline	0.00 %/vea		
Insurance Rate	(Annual)	1.00	% of inst	talled cost		Drog		0.00 %/yea		
	(Vanidaly	21220			0	Pro	perty tax	0.00 %/yea	12	
alvage Value										
End of Analysis Per	iod Value		\$0.00			Net Salv	age Value	0.00 % of i	nstalled cost	
anchurchian Einandia -										
Specify the terms of up to five	optional short	-term co	ostructio	n loans SAM	calculates the t	otal financing co	st and add	s it to the project's	investment cost. Th	e sum of
percentages in the Percent of	Installed Costs	column	must equ	ual 100%.	The second second second second			and a see projector		
	Percent of Installed Cos	Up-fro sts (% of	ont Fee f principal)	Months Prior to Operation	Annual Interest Rate (%)				Total Construct Financing Cost	tion
		000.000.000			1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	P	rincipal	Interest		
Construction Loans			3.5	28	5.5	\$ 418,440,43	0.69	\$ 26,849,927.64	\$ 41,495	,342.71
Construction Loans	100				-	1	0.00	\$ 0.00		
Construction Loans Loan 1 Loan 2	100		0	0	0	Ş	0.00	4		\$0.00
Construction Loans Loan 1 Loan 2 Loan 3	100 0		0	0	0	\$	0.00	\$ 0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00
Construction Loans Loan 1 Loan 2 Loan 3 Loan 4	100 0 0		0 0 0	0	0	\$	0.00	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
Construction Loans Loan 1 Loan 2 Loan 3 Loan 4 Loan 5	100 0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0	\$ \$ \$ \$	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00

Figure 8: SAM Financing page for Andasol-1

irect Capital Costs		-			
	Site Improvement	s 51012	D m2	28.00 \$/m2	\$ 14,283,360.00
Solar Field		51012	D m2	270.00 \$/m2	\$ 137,732,400.00
HTF System Storage Fossil Backup Power Plant Balance of Plant		n 51012	m2 MWht	78.00 \$/m2	\$ 39,789,360.00
		1082.68		80 \$/kWht	\$ 86,614,173.23
		5	5 MWe, Gross	60 \$/kWe	\$ 3,300,000.00
		t 5	5 MWe, Gross	850 \$/kWe	\$ 46,750,000.00
		t 5	5 MWe, Gross	105 \$/kWe	\$ 5,775,000.00
			Contingenc	y 7%	\$ 23,397,100.53
				Total Direct Cost	\$ 357,641,393.75
ndirect Capital Costs-					
Total Land Area	477	acres Namepla	te 50	MWe	
	Cost per acre	% of Direct Cost	Cost per Wac	Fixed Cost	Total
EPC and Owner Cost	\$0.00	11 %	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 39,340,553.31
Total Land Cost	\$ 0.00	2 %	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 7,152,827.88
1	Sales Tax of	5 % applies	to 80 '	% of Direct Cost	\$ 14,305,655.75
				Total Indirect Cost	\$ 60,799,036.94
otal Installed Costs					
Total Installed Costs	ludes financing			Total Installed Cost	\$ 418,440,430.69
Total Installed Costs Total Installed Cost exc costs (if any, see Finan	ludes financing cing Page)	Estimat	ted Total Installed Cost p	Total Installed Cost per Net Capacity (\$/kW)	\$ 418,440,430.69 \$ 8,453.34
Total Installed Costs Total Installed Cost exc costs (if any, see Finan Operation and Mainten	Judes financing cing Page) ance Costs	Estima	ted Total Installed Cost p	Total Installed Cost per Net Capacity (\$/kW)	\$ 418,440,430.69 \$ 8,453.34
otal Installed Costs Total Installed Cost exc costs (if any, see Finan Operation and Mainten	Judes financing cing Page) ance Costs First 1	Estimai /ear Cost	ted Total Installed Cost p Escalation	Total Installed Cost per Net Capacity (\$/kW) Rate (above inflation)	\$ 418,440,430.69 \$ 8,453.34
otal Installed Costs Total Installed Cost exc costs (if any, see Finan operation and Mainten Fix	Judes financing cing Page) ance Costs First Y ed Annual Cost	Estima: /ear Cost 0.00 \$	ted Total Installed Cost p Escalation	Total Installed Cost per Net Capacity (\$/kW) Rate (above inflation)	\$ 418,440,430.69 \$ 8,453.34
Total Installed Costs Total Installed Cost exc costs (if any, see Finan Operation and Mainten Fix Fixed Ci	ance Costs First 1 ed Annual Cost 2000 2010 Capacity 2010	Estimat (ear Cost 0.00 \$ 66.00 \$	ted Total Installed Cost p Escalation /yr	Total Installed Cost per Net Capacity (\$/kW) Rate (above inflation) 0 % Escalati 0 %	\$ 418,440,430.69 \$ 8,453.34
Total Installed Costs Total Installed Cost exc costs (if any, see Finan Operation and Mainten Fix Fixed Co Variable Cost	Judes financing cing Page) ance Costs First 1 red Annual Cost sst by Capacity Using t by Generation	Estima (ear Cost 66.00 \$ 3.00 \$	ted Total Installed Cost p Escalation /yr /kW-yr	Total Installed Cost per Net Capacity (\$/kW) Rate (above inflation) 0 % Escalation not to v	\$ 418,440,430.69 \$ 8,453.34

Figure 9: SAM Trough System Costs page for Andasol-1