

Modeling Residential and Commercial Photovoltaic Systems in SAM 2013.1.15



SAM Webinar

Paul Gilman

July 10, 2013

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.



 The System Advisor Model (SAM) is a free computer model that calculates a renewable energy system's hourly energy output over a single year, and calculates the cost of energy for a renewable energy project over many years of operation.

System Advisor Model (SAM)



• https://sam.nrel.gov

- Developed by NREL with funding from DOE
- o Free
- Windows and OS X
- One new version per year

Support

- Help system
- Documents on website
- o https://sam.nrel.gov/forums/support-forum

• Feasibility studies

 Project developers, Federal Energy Management Program

• Use as benchmark for other models

- System integrators and utilities
- Research projects
 - Universities and engineering firms
- Plant acceptance testing for parabolic trough systems
- Evaluate technology research opportunities and grant proposals
 - Department of Energy

35,000+ Downloads

Manufacturers Engineering Firms Utilities Consultants Developers Venture Capitalists Policy Analysts





SAM models many types of projects



• Photovoltaics

- Concentrating Solar Power
 - Parabolic Troughs
 - Power Towers
 - Dish-Stirling
 - Linear Fresnel
- Solar Water Heating
- Wind turbines and farms
- Geothermal power plants
- Biomass power

Residential, commercial, and utility-scale projects

- Installation and operating costs
- Tax credit and payment incentives
- Complex electricity rates

Performance model key outputs

- Hourly energy production (kWh)
- Capacity factor

Financial model key outputs

- Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE)
- PPA Price and IRR
- Payback
- Net present value
- Multi-year cash flow

Photovoltaic performance model is for grid-connected systems



- No storage
- No size limit
- Model options
- Simpler PVWatts model represents entire system using a single derate factor
- More detailed Flat Plate PV model represents system using separate module and inverter model with derate factors
- Electric load for residential and commercial systems with TOU and tiered rates
- Array shading and self shading

Residential and commercial financing option is for projects that buy and sell electricity at retail rates





A new PV array system is installed on a home of a city employee who participated in the group buy pilot in 2010. (NREL PIX 19492)

- Meets a building load and sells excess electricity to the grid
 - Grid meets load when PV output cannot meet load
- Is project economically feasible given costs and energy production?

PPA models are for power generation projects that sell electricity at a negotiated price





Aerial view of the 2 MW PV system at U.S. Army Fort Carson financed through a Power Purchase Agreement (PPA). (NREL PIX 17394)

Can the project meet target internal rate of return (IRR) requirements given cost, production, and PPA price?



- SAM is a feasibility screening tool
- It does not replace "bank quality" analysis required for actual financing
- It is limited to annual cash flows
- Designed to model a wide range of projects, so uses simplified assumptions
- An actual project requires tax and financial counsel that SAM does not replace
- The actual structure of a project is more about risk allocation and investor comfort than returns

Garbage in, garbage out!







- 6 kW array
- Two financial options
 - TOU rate with no state incentives
 - State incentives with no TOU rate
- Does it make economic sense to install the system?



• Set up comparison with PVWatts

- Simple performance model inputs
- Focus on financial parameters

• Repeat with Flat Plate PV model

 Refine system design to include specific modules and inverters

Choose a weather file





Specify system parameters on the PVWatts Solar Array page



SAM 2013.1.15



Review the performance adjustment factors



SAM 2013.1.15: F:\SAM File Case Analysis To	Webinars\2013 Webinars\res ools Script Help	idential p	photovo	oltaic e	xample	.zsam																[-		×
TOU × Incentives																									₹
Select Technology and Mark	et [PVWatts, Residen	tial]																						<u>53</u>	0
Location and Resource	Č.a.																								_
Location: PORTLAND, OR		⊳Syster	m Outp	ut Adjı	ustmer	nts—																			
Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0	m	·		-			V	lue																	
PVWatts Solar Array	PV 1			Perce	nt of an	nual o	utput	hed	100)%					use the s that caus	e the s	ystem's	outpu	t (delive	red ene	ergy) t	o be less	s than t	nuai dei he valui	grad e cak
DC Rating: 6 kW	Walls		Yea	ar-to-ye	ar declir	ne in o	utput 🍟	hed	0.5	5 % (co	mpound	ded ann	nually)		(net ener	gy). Us	e annu	al sche	dules to	specify	(differ	ent pero	:entage	s for dif	ifere
AC-DC Derate: 0.86																		- F - 41					le.		
Performance Adjustment	t 👘													-	examples	e combi ;.	nations	of adj	ustment	ts, SAM	mutlipi	les the r	esulting) percen	ntage
Percent of annual output: 100 %	07																								
Year-to-year decline: 0.5 % per y	ear																								
PV System Costs		Hourly	y Factors	s (24-ho	our profi	le for (each mo	nth)	_		_				_		_			0=	No Ou	tput, 1=	=Full Ou	tput	
Total: \$ 27,000.00	9		12am	1am	2am	3am	4am	5am	6am	7am	8am	9am	10am	11am	12pm	1pm	2pm	3pm	4pm	5pm	6pm	7pm	8pm	9pm	10
Per Capacity: \$ 4.50 per Wdc		Jan	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Financing		Feb	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Analysis: 30 years	_	Mar	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Debt Fraction: 80.0% percent		May	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Incentives	Â	Jun	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Fed. ITC	~//	Jul	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
No cash incentives		Aug	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Utility Rate	(D)	Sep	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Net Metering? No	لننا	0ct	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Electric Load	The defau	l+ V	้ดวา	c + c		01	r d		lin	o i	nc		nu	+ \/r	متناد		fO	5	0/			1	1	1	1
Annual Energy: 6054.07 kWh	The delau	IL I	eai		J-Y	Ea		lec		eı	II C	Jui	.pu	ινα	alue	= 0	10		/0			1	1	1	1
Annual Peak: 2.46804 kW	results in a	an :	anr	ามร	al r	۵d		tio	n i	n t	he	CV/	cto	m's		oct	tric	al							
Exchange Variables				iuc		cu	uc	liu		ΠC	inc	Sy	SIC	111 3		CCI		Jui							
(For Excel Exchange and custom	output fro	m v	vea	ar t		ie?	ar. T	Thi	s r	nie	ht	re	nre	sei	nt t	he									
	output no		,		υ,	CC			5.	35	,	· C	pre			inc									
	degradatio	on d	of F	ν	m	bd	ule	pe	erf	orr	ma	nc	e o	ver	tir	ne	•								
	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_					
		•								III															Þ

Specify the installation cost



To specify the to	tal in cost	nstalled cost as a category to rep	n \$/W resen	dc valu t the	е,					
system cost, and	set a	all other values	to zer	0.		\mathbf{A}				8
Location: PORTLAND, OR Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0 m PVWatts Solar Array P DC Rating: 6 kW AC-DC Derate: 0.86 Performance Adjustment Percent of annual output: 100 % Year-to-year decline: 0.5 % per year PV System Costs		Module 1 units Inverter 1 units Balance of system, equipment Installation labor Installer margin and overhead	6.0 k	Wdc/unit Wac/unit D \$ D \$ D \$	6 6 0 0	kWdc kWac \$/Wdc \$/Wdc \$/Wdc	\$ 4.5 \$/ \$ 0 \$/ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Wdc ▼ Wac ▼ 0 \$/m2 0 \$/m2 0 \$/m2 0 \$/m2 0 %	\$ 27,000. \$ 0. \$ 0. \$ 0. \$ 0. \$ 0. \$ 0. \$ 0.	
Total: \$ 27,000.00 Per Capacity: \$ 4.50 per Wdc Financing		ndirect Capital Costs						Total Direct Cost	\$ 27,000	00
Analysis: 30 years Debt Fraction: 80.0% percent Incentives Fed. ITC		Permitting, Environmer I Grid inter	% ntal Studies Engineering rconnection	0 of Direct Cost 0 % 0 %	Co	st \$/Wdc 0.00 0.00 0.00	Fixed Co	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00	Total \$ 0. \$ 0. \$ 0. \$ 0.	00
No cash incentives Utility Rate Net Metering? No Electric Load	0	Land Costs Total Land Area Cost \$/acre Land	0 acres e %	s of Direct Cost 0 %	Co	st \$/Wdc 0.00	Fixed Co	st \$ 0.00	Total \$ 0.	00
Annual Energy: 6054.07 kWh Annual Peak: 2.46804 kW Exchange Variables (For Excel Exchange and custom TRNSYS only.)	SAI the	M's financial n e total installed	nodel d cost	uses t.		0.00	100 % of	\$ 0.00 f Direct Cost Total Indirect Cost	\$0. \$0. t \$0.	00
	Tr	otal Installed Costs				Total Insta	To Iled Cost per	otal Installed Cost Capacity (\$/Wdc)	\$ 27,000	00
You can star the costs us	rt yo sing '	our analysis wi the category c	th a s letail	single S as you	5/V i ge	Vdc co et moi	ost, a re inf	nd the format	en refine tion.	-

Specify operation and maintenance costs

SAM 2013.1.15

SAM 2013.1.15: F:\SAM Webi	inars\2013 Webinars\res	idential photovoltaic examp	ile.zsam				-	- • ×
File Case Analysis Tools	Script Help							_
]							- 0
Select Technology and Market	[PVWatts, Residen	tial j						••• •
Location and Resource	<u>, * 2</u>							
Location: PORTLAND, OR						Total Direct Co	st \$ 27,000.00	*
Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0 m		-Indirect Capital Costs-						
PVWatts Solar Array	PV PV	Indirect Capital Costs		% of Direct Cost	Cost \$M/dc	Fixed Cost	Total	
DC Rating: 6 kW	Walls	Dormittin	. Environmental Studios	0.9/	0.00	t 0.00	t 0.00	
AC-DC Derate: 0.86		Permitur	ig, Environmental Studies	0 %	0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	
Performance Adjustment	09		Engineering	0 %	0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	
Percent of annual output: 100 %			Grid interconnection	0 %	0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	
Year-to-year decline: 0.5 % per year		Land Costs	0.00					
PV System Costs		Total Lanu Are		es K (Direct Cont			Tatal	
Total: \$ 27,000.00							Total	
Per Capacity: \$ 4.50 per Wdc		Lan		sts are an	nual costs	that 📲	\$ 0.00	
Financing		Land preparatio	vou can s	necify as	a fixed co	st 📕	\$ 0.00	
Analysis: 30 years	_		you curre			ost ost	\$ 0.00	
			capacity-	based co	st, or			
Incentives			n roducti	an hacad	aact	ect Co	st \$0.00	
Fed. ITC	.,	Total Installed Costs	production	Ju-pased	COSL.			
Utility Pate						Total Installed Co	÷ 27.000.00	
							\$ 27,000.00	=
Net Metering? No					l otal Installed	Cost per Capacity (\$/Wo	ic) \$ 4.50	
Electric Load		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Annual Energy: 6054.07 kWh	-	Operation and Maintena	nce Costs					
Annual Peak: 2,46804 kW			First Year (Cost	Escalation Rate (ab	ove inflation)		
Exchange variables		Fixe	d Annual Cost Bohed	0.00 \$/yr	0 %	Escalation rates an	nly only to single values.	
(For Excel Exchange and custom TRNSY)	S only.)	Fixed Cos	st by Capacity Robed	20.00 \$/kW-yr	0 %	not to values in an	nual schedules.	
		Variable Cost I	by Generation	0.00 \$/MWh	0 %	ĺ		
			1					
	You can	specify an	0 M 0	st for ea	ch vear b	V		
						,		-
	clicking	one of the	blue and	grey bu	ttons.			
L	0			0 /				

Financial parameters



the value for reference, but does not use it in calculations.

Scenario 1: No state incentives available with the TOU rate structure



😑 SAM 2013.1.15: F:\SAM Webinars\2013 Webinars\residential photovoltaic example.zsam - - X File Case Analysis Tools Script Help TOU × Incentives Ŧ **1** Select Technology and Market... [PVWatts, Residential] Location and Resource Location: PORTLAND, OR Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0 m Investment Tax Credit (ITC) Production Tax Credit (PTC) PV ** Walls **PVWatts Solar Array** Escalation Amount Amount Term DC Rating: 6 kW AC-DC Derate: 0.86 Federal \$0 2.5 % Performance Adjustment The project does qualify for the 2.5 % 09 State \$0 Percent of annual output: 100 % Percentage **30% Federal ITC** Year-to-year decline: 0.5 % per year 30 % \$ 1e+099 Federal PV System Costs 1 0 % \$ 1e+099 State Total: \$ 27,000.00 Per Capacity: \$ 4.50 per Wdc Investment Based Incentive (IBI) Financing Taxable Incentive . Amount Federal State Analysis: 30 years Debt Fraction: 80.0% percent 7 Federal **\$**0 1 Incentives 1 State \$0 V Fed. ITC 1 1 Utility **\$**0 No cash incentives 1 V Other **\$**0 **Utility Rate** • Percentage Maximum Net Metering? No 1 Federal 0% \$ 1e+099 1 Electric Load 1 V State 0 % \$ 1e+099 Annual Energy: 6054.07 kWh 1 V Utility 0 % \$ 1e+099 Annual Peak: 2.46804 kW 0 % 1 V Other \$ 1e+099 Exchange Variables 4 (For Excel Exchange and custom TRNSYS only.) Capacity Based Incentive (CBI) Taxable Incentive Federal State Amount Maximum Federal 0 \$/W 1 1 \$ 1e+099 \$ 1e+099 1 V State 0 \$/W Utility 0 \$/W \$ 1e+099 1 V 111

Specify the rate schedule





Review and revise the rate schedule

Specify the electric load

SAM 2013.1.15

How to use monthly demand data (not used for this example)

Run a simulation and review cash flow

Review metrics table

Metric	Base
Annual Energy	6,947 kWh
LCOE Nominal	18.17 ¢/kWh
LCOE Real	15.24 ¢/kWh
Total revenue without system (\$)	\$ -616.02
Total revenue with system (\$)	\$-92.41
First Year Net Revenue	\$ 523.61
Net present value (\$)	\$-8,813.87
Payback (years)	1.#INF
Capacity Factor	13.2 %
First year kWhac/kWdc	1,158

- You can compare the real LCOE to the retail electricity price.
- The positive first year net revenue value represents net savings.
- The negative net present value shows that the project is worth less over its life than an investment with a 4% return.
- The "1.#INF" payback period indicates a payback period greater than the 30-year project life.

The 4% real discount rate and 25 year analysis period are inputs on the Financing page.

- If the project uses TOU rates, it saves the owner money by lowering the annual electric bill, but it has a negative NPV, and too long of a payback period
- Now, let's see if we can do better with the state incentives option instead of the TOU rate option

Create a duplicate case

Replace the TOU rate with a flat 6 cents/kWh rate

SAM 2013.1.15

SAM 2013.1.15: F:\SAM Webinars\2013 Webinars\re	esidential photovoltaic example.zsam	×
TOUL Incentives		
Select Technology and Market [PVWatts, Resider	ential]	0
Location and Resource		
Location: PORTLAND, OR	r OpenEI Online Utility Rate Database	
Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0 m	Search for rates Go to website 3. Type the flat rate al Time-Of-Use)	-
Diate to C I and Diate Diate State	Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric utility companies included in the form Electric Download rate structures for electric Downlo	.0
2. Check Enable net	rate repository. After downloading a rate structure, compare the ir copy of the rate sheet to verify that the information is correct.	s
metering (buy=sell).	Source http://en.openei.org/wiki/Data:18c185d0-ce95-4ad0-b2f8	4
Percent or annual output: 100 %	Retering Fixed Monthly Charges Rate Esc	ä E
PV System Costs	Prable net metering (buy=sell) Hat Buy Rate 0.06 \$/KWn Hixed Monthly Charge 9.65 \$ One	۰L ۲
Total: \$ 27,000,00	Time of Use Rate.	x
Per Capacity: \$ 4.50 per Wdc		
Financing 🚔	Time of Use Rate (Energy Charge)	-
Analysis: 30 years	Enable TOU Rates	
Debt Fraction: 80.0% percent		-
Incentives	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0
Fed. ITC No cash incentiv 1. Clear Enable T	TOU Rates.	i i
Utility Rate	Period 3 0.1506 0.1327 Mar 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 2 2 1 1 Mar 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Net Metering? Yes	Period 4 0.0621 0.0422 May 4 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 5	i
Electric Load	Period 5 0.0562 0.1326 Jun 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 5	
Annual Energy: 6054.07 kWh	Period 6 0.093 0.075 0.0	i
Annual Peak: 2.46804 kW	Period 7 0 0 Sep 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 5	í –
Exchange Variables	Period 8 0 0 0ct 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 5	
(For Excel Exchange and custom TRNSYS only.)	Period 9 0 0 Dec 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 2 2 1 1 Dec 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	i i
	Peak Demand Charges	-
	Enable Demand Charges	
	Monthly fixed demand charge (\$ (KW peak)	
SAM 2013.1.15 d	does net metering accounting on an hour-by-hour basis.	▼
The payt yersion	will do accounting on a more realistic monthly basis	
The next version	i will do accounting on a more realistic monthly basis.	

Download incentives from DSIRE

	😑 SAM 2013.1.15: F:\SAM Web	inars\2013 Webinars\resi	dential photovol	ltaic example.zsam						
	File Case Analysis Tools	Script Help								
	TOU Incentives X									-
	Select Technology and Market	[P\/Watts Resident	ial]							
										···· •
. . Cl	ick Download	incentives		T						*
	Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0 m		DSIRE Unline	Incentives Database		Download incentives	from the Database o	of State Incentives for Renewable	es and Efficiency	y (DSIRE) for the
	PVWatts Solar Array	PV	Dòwnlo	oad incentives	<u>So to website</u>	location in your weat	her file (U.S. location	ns only).		
	DC Rating: 6 kW	Walls	-1							
	AC-DC Derate: 0.86		Query						×	
	Performance Adjustment	(iii)		The incentives b	elow are available for yo	ur query. Check the ii	ncentives that apply	to your case.	rm	Escalation
	Percent of annual output: 100 %	07		Query Parameters	u Latituda: 45.6. Longitu	der 122.6 Technolo	avi BV/Watte Einand	ing: Residential	rs	2.5 %
	Year-to-year decline: 0.5 % per year			Query Parameters	. Lautude. 45.0, Longitu	ue122.0, Technolo	yy. P v vatts, r mane	ling. Residential	rs	2.5 %
			Portland, ✓ CBI (st	OR, USA (ate) - Energy Trust - Solar	Flectric Buy-Down Prog	am, Rate: \$1,75/W.	Maximum amount: \$	20000		
2.	Check each inc	centive 📍	CBI (st	ate) - Energy Trust - Solar	Electric Buy-Down Progr	am. Rate: \$1.75/W.	Maximum amount: \$	20000		E
+b-	at applias		✓ ITC (fe	deral) - Residential Renew ther) - Residential Energy 1	vable Energy Tax Credit. Tax Credit. Percent of co	Percent of cost: 30% st: 50%				
llic	at applies.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
_	Analysis: 30 years									
	Debt Fraction: 80.0% percent								_	
	Incentives	Â	Click on an	incentive for more info					A	
	Fed. ITC	~//								
	No cash incentives									
	Utility Rate	(D)							• CIICK	OK.
	Net Metering? Yes								_	_
	Electric Load	1				Clear all incentives	hefore applying 📝			
	Annual Energy: 6054.07 kWh					clear air incentives				
	Annual Peak: 2.46804 kW									
	Exchange Variables		State	0 %	\$ 1e+099	V	\checkmark			
	(For Excel Exchange and custom TRNSY	'S only.)	Utility	0 %	\$ 1e+099					
			Other	0 %	\$ 1e+099	\checkmark	\checkmark			
			Capacity Base	ed Incentive (CBI)						
		The Que	ry win	ndow disp	plays ince	entives	availab	le for the		
		In a street								+
		location	on the	e Locatioi	n and Re	source	page, a	and for the		
		financial	mode	l (in this		c rocido	ntial)			
		mancial	mode	er (in this	case, it i	sreside	nual).			

Verify that the incentives are correct

Run simulation and review results

Results with incentives but no TOU

Metric	Base
Annual Energy	6,947 kWh
LCOE Nominal	9.19 ¢/kWh
LCOE Real	7.71 ¢/kWh
Total revenue without system (\$)	\$ -481.45
Total revenue with system (\$)	\$ -64.63
First Year Net Revenue	\$ 416.82
Net present value (\$)	\$ -2,041.72
Payback (years)	26.7623
Capacity Factor	13.2 %
First year kWhac/kWdc	1,158

- Real LCOE is close to the retail electricity price.
- First year net revenue is higher than for TOU option.
- NPV is still negative.
- Payback period is less than the 30 year analysis period.

Use cases for side-by-side comparisons

SAM 2013.1.15: F:\SAM Webinars\2013 Webinars\residential photovoltaic example.zsam - • × File Case Analysis Tools Script Help TOU X -Incentives х Ŧ Select Technology and Market... [PVWatts, Residential] 2 Select Technology and Market... [PVWatts, Residential] 0 Location and Resource Location and Resource Graphs Tables Graphs Tables View and export data: View and export data: Location: PORTLAND, OR Location: PORTLAND, OR Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0 m Remo Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0 m Add a new graph... Edit... Remove Add a new graph... Edit... Remove Remo **PVWatts Solar Arrav** PV N **PVWatts Solar Arrav** PV 1 After Tax Cashflow (Base Case) After Tax Cashflow (Base Case) DC Rating: 6 kW DC Rating: 6 kW ********************** 7,000 AC-DC Derate: 0.86 AC-DC Derate: 0.86 7,000 Performance Adjustment Performance Adjustment 09 6,000 09 6,000 Percent of annual output: 100 % Percent of annual output: 100 % 5,000 Year-to-year decline: 0.5 % per year Year-to-year decline: 0.5 % per year 5,000 4,000 PV System Costs PV System Costs 4,000 Total: \$ 27,000.00 Total: \$ 27,000.00 3,000 Per Capacity: \$ 4.50 per Wdc Per Capacity: \$ 4.50 per Wdc 3,000 2,000 Financing Financing . . Analysis: 30 years 1,000 Analysis: 30 years 2,000 ₩. ₩ Debt Fraction: 80.0% percent Debt Fraction: 80.0% percent 1,000 Incentives Incentives -1,000 Fed. ITC Fed. ITC. State ITC 0 No cash incentives CBI -2,000 Utility Rate Utility Rate 8 æ -1,000 -3,000 Net Metering? No Net Metering? Yes -2,000 -4,000 -5,000 -3,000 -6,000 Metric Base Metric Base 012345678910123456789022323802890 01234567891012345678902232382890 Annual Energy 6,947 kWh Annual Energy 6,947 kWh LCOE Nominal 18.17 ¢/kWh LCOE Nominal 9.19 ¢/kWh 15.24 ¢/kWh LCOE Real COE Real 7.71 ¢/kWh Monthly Output (Base Case After Tax Cashflow (Base 4 Monthly Output (Base Case After Tax Cashflow (Base £ ^ Total revenue without system (\$) \$-616.02 Total revenue without system (\$) \$-481.45 Total revenue with system (\$) Total revenue with system (\$) \$ -92.41 5.00 \$ -64.63 \$ 000 First Year Net Revenue First Year Net Revenue \$ 523.61 £. \$ 416.82 Net present value (\$) \$-8.813.87 Net present value (\$) \$-2,041.72 -5,000 Payback (years) 1.#INF Payback (years) 26.7623 And the second COLUMN TWO IS NOT tale of the Rest State Capacity Factor 13.2 % Capacity Factor 13.2 % First year kWhac/kWdc 1,158 First year kWhac/kWdc 1,158 111 ш

- Our initial analysis shows that the incentives option is more economically viable than the TOU option.
- This is based on the PVWatts performance model. What if we use the Flat Plate model to model the system's performance in better detail?

Duplicate the Incentives case and rename it "Flat Plate PV"

Choose a module

Choose an inverter

Specify the number of modules and inverters in the array

Specify the array tilt angle

GAM 2012 1 15: untitled										
File Case Analysis Tools Scrint Help										
TOUL Incentives Incentives with Elst Plate										
Select Technology and Market [Flat Plate PV, Resi	dential j									
Location: PORTLAND, OR	A									
Lat: 45.6 Long: -122.6 Elev: 12.0 m	Defining subarrays									
Module	To model a system with one array, specify properties for	Subarray 1 and disable	Subarrays 2, 3, and 4.							
SunPower PL-SUNP-SPR-215	To model a system with up to four subarrays connected in	parallel to a single bank	of inverters for each subarr	av, check Enable and specif	v a number of					
Output: 214.9 Wdc	strings and other properties.	o model a sytem with up to rour subarrays connected in parallel to a single bank of inverters, for each subarray, check Enable and specify a number of trings and other properties.								
Inverter	Each subarray can have its own sat of orientation, tradi	ing shading and DC day	ate properties							
SMA America: SB5000US 208V	Lach subarray can have its own set of orientation, tracking, shading, and DC derate properties.									
Capacity: 5000 Wac		Subarray 1	Subarray 2	Subarray 3	Subarray 4					
Array	-String Configuration	Sabarray I	Sabarray 2	Jabarray J	Jabarray 4					
Power: 6.01776 kWdc	Strings in array 4	(always enabled)	Enable	Enable	Enable					
Area: 34.8 m2	Strings allocated to subarray	4	0	0	0					
V Subarrays	-Tracking & Orientation	•								
Number of subarrays: 1	Azimuth <u>Tilt</u>	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed					
Performance Adjustment	N = 0 Vert = 90	1 Axis	_	1 6						
Percent of annual output: 100 %		🔘 2 Axis	1. Type a	value for	Tilt.					
Year-to-year decline: 0.5 % per year	270 7 90 70	O Azimuth Axis			Axis					
PV System Costs 📻	5180	Tit=latione	Tilt=latitude	Tilt=latitude	Tilt=latitude					
otal: \$ 27,079.92	Tilt (dea)	40	20	20	20					
r Capacity: \$ 4.50 per Wdc	Azimuth (deg)	100	180	180	180					
inancing 💼	Tracker rotation limit (deg)	180	45	45	45					
Analysis: 30 years	Tracker Totadori linit (deg)	L								
ebt Fraction: 80.0% percent		Backtracking	Backtracking	Backtracking	Backtracking					
Incentives	Row width (m)	2	2	2	2					
ed. ITC, State ITC	Space between edges of adjacent rows (m)	1	1	1	1					
.BI	-Shading & Soiling				e hi li h					
Utility Rate	Configure shading scene	Edit shading	Edit shading	Edit shading	Edit shading					
Net Metering? Yes	Monthly soiling factors	Edit soiling	Edit soiling	Edit soiling	Edit soiling					
Electric Load	Annual average soiling (01)	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95					
nnual Energy: 6054.07 kWh	-Pre-inverter Derates									
nnual Peak: 2.46804 kW	Mismatch (01)	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98					
xchange Variables	Diodes and connections (01)	0.995	0.995	0.995	0.995					
(For Excel Exchange and custom TRNSYS only.)	DC wiring loss (01)	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98					
	Tracking error (0, 1)	1	1	1	1					
	Namendate (0, 1)	1	1	1	1					
	Namepiate (01)	0.955598	0.955598	0.955598	0.955598					
	estimated UC power derate (01)	0.555556								

Run simulations and review results

The Warning icon spins when there is a simulation message. These messages provide information about simulation results. In this case, the array's DC output exceeds the inverter's rated input power for 8 of the 8,760 hours of the year. We can ignore this message if we assume the system is designed to handle this situation.

Run simulations and review results

Metric	Base
Annual Energy	7,175 kWh
LCOE Nominal	8.94 ¢/kWh
LCOE Real	7.50 ¢/kWh
Total revenue without system (\$)	\$ -663.07
Total revenue with system (\$)	\$ -17.35
First Year Net Revenue	\$ 645.70
Net present value (\$)	\$ 1,603.20
Payback (years)	15.7462 years
Capacity Factor	13.6 %
First year kWhac/kWdc	1,192
System performance factor (%)	0.86
Total Land Area	0.02 acres

After Tax Cashflow (Base Case)

Flat Plate PV analysis results

- Flat Plate PV model confirm PVWatts results: So far, this project appears to be economically feasible with the state incentives option
- Next step could be to refine costs. Because the Flat Plate PV model allows you to use different inverter and array capacities, by specifying their costs separately, you can investigate the cost benefit of "oversizing" the array compared to the inverter
- Another next step would be to refine the financial and incentives assumptions after doing further research